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At the date this errata was published, the thesis in its original form was available from:

- http://pubs.cs.uct.ac.za/archive/00000413/
- http://rudyneeser.wordpress.com/research/msc

1 Errata to Chapter 6

A general problem with this chapter is that while all the results are reported in $cm$, many of the grand mean residuals have their units incorrectly reported to be $mm$.

1.1 pg 93

- “$\bar{X} = 0.815mm$” should read “$\bar{X} = 0.815cm$”.
- “$\bar{X} = 0.812mm$” should read “$\bar{X} = 0.812cm$”.

1.2 pg 94

- “$\bar{X} = 0.789mm$” should read “$\bar{X} = 0.789cm$”.
- “$\bar{X} = 0.779mm$” should read “$\bar{X} = 0.779cm$”.

1.3 pg 95

- “$\bar{X} = 0.957mm$” should read “$\bar{X} = 0.957cm$”.
- “$\bar{X} = 0.988mm$” should read “$\bar{X} = 0.988cm$”.

1.4 pg 99-100

The graphs on these pages should have the x-axis labeled, “Number of missing landmarks”.

1.5 pg 103

“n = 128” should read, “n = 178”.

1.6 pg 104

- “$\bar{X} = 0.47mm$” should read “$\bar{X} = 0.47cm$”.
- “$\bar{X} = 0.35mm$” should read “$\bar{X} = 0.35cm$”.
- “$\bar{X} = 0.41mm$” should read “$\bar{X} = 0.41cm$”.
- “$\bar{X}_F = 0.41mm$” should read “$\bar{X}_F = 0.41cm$”.
- “$\bar{X}_{NF} = 0.51mm$” should read “$\bar{X}_{NF} = 0.51cm$”.
- “$\bar{X}_F = 0.29mm$” should read “$\bar{X}_F = 0.29cm$”.
- “$\bar{X}_{NF} = 0.39mm$” should read “$\bar{X}_{NF} = 0.39cm$”.
- “$\bar{X}_F = 0.31mm$” should read “$\bar{X}_F = 0.31cm$”.
- “$\bar{X}_{NF} = 0.49mm$” should read “$\bar{X}_{NF} = 0.49cm$”.
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