Testing after first iteration feedback:

-they said they want ordering

-unsure of what the limit-search was (because of placement)

-faceted search

-interface search from just all images to the thumbnails/folders

-spoke about the drilling down aspect....filtering..

-side bar...suggested that it goes smaller

-initially had all sub categories and categories in the side panel as well..got too full..therefore changed to thumbnails/folders

-a lot of the issue was placement of things..limit search results

-still had hover over for the images

-saw the drop down

-useful categories: collection; wouldn't search by media type

-move limit to in the frame...maybe have second search bar

-interface did not have a lot of prompting as to where the user was.....(i.e. searched for queen..)

-good feedback:  filtering within filtering....

-took a while to see browse all..

-the hovering over information....is it really that useful?..the type was necessary to make sure that if video..etc...rather represent it in an intuitive way...when you hover..get info that you wouldn't get by just looking..

hovering over did not offer anything useful

-navigated easily

-confusion with the title....just had title letters....not too sure..alphabetical...even if it empty should have whole alphabetical

-explored error page....no results

FINAL EVALUATION

Client

-likes visuals...grabs her...pictures on landing page shift too quickly

-noticed all the things in the top menu

-clicked browse fine...sort in ASC (ascending order)....likes the metadata...likes the way picture comes up..eventually saw that the different coloured text allows for additional details..link...

understood the way the metadata being links...out of one picture I am able to access other things that are essentially related..

did not like the different cases

-liked all the categories to browse by....just more visible maybe

-autocomplete

-understood matching tags...

-client understood that everything is metadata based therefore make it very rich

-navigation was a bit of an issue..navigating to home..needs to be consistent

-understood history..since last visit...clicking around...interface seems clickable when isnt...it is filtering..(better filtering interface)

-did ask about google analytics thing...will need to add that for them

-liked tag cloud...understood it quite well

---

-one made a bit of a weird point that they would like to actually read the information ..i.e. if a newspaper article

comments on history:useful?...important because archives and collections have perception that it is dead and finished..and done..so since constantly getting new information..this causes incentive to go back and see that it is n't dead....good way to signlal changes and updates and that the archives are being workied on

---

comments about the interface : likes the simplicity..dublin core fields on the side...(usually meant for uploading but usually just....understands that you can browse by those dublin core fields)

Experts

-metadata...clickable...(this is to be learned...after moving around on the interface)

-sorting...the year isn't shown...so you don't actually know so it is difficult to see if something has changed...

(that's what ended up putting the name on the view instead of relying on hover over)

-recent updates:had to hardcode this to illustrate the functionality...it does work with the cookies...

tagcloud is usually more colourful....vibey...she thought it was just a body of text...wasn't sure...tag cloud did not operate/work

HUGE THING ABOUT SEARCHING AND BROWSING IMPLEMENTATION...only things that are actually in the archive..../what's available..this was a big thing considered throughout

There were a couple of bugs during the final evaluation but these were resolved

data became an issue because it often wasn't that rich in metadata...etc and that is what the interface relied on

sometimes pictures would not come up